



Fiscal Year 2020 Girls in the Juvenile Justice System and Those at Risk of Sexual Exploitation/Domestic Sex Trafficking Program

Performance Measures

The following pages outline performance measures for the **Fiscal Year 2020 Girls in the Juvenile Justice System and Those at Risk of Sexual Exploitation/Domestic Sex Trafficking program** and list the data that grantees must report on to complete a Performance Data Report. The Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP) requires grantees to provide data for the performance measure in the column labeled “Data Grantee Reports.” Grantees enter their data in the Performance Measures Tool (PMT), the OJJDP online system. The PMT automatically calculates simple statistics from the data entered, such as percentages and total amounts.

Grantees enter data in the PMT in January and July of each calendar year and are responsible for creating their Performance Data Report using the PMT. Grantees submit this report to OJJDP through the Grants Management System (GMS).

OJJDP groups performance measures for grantees performing similar grant-related activities, for example those who serve similar populations or who receive funding under the same program in the PMT, under a shared program area. Grantees for this solicitation report their data under the Discretionary 2.0 Grant programs, “systems improvement and direct service prevention” subcategories. A full list of performance measures available in the Discretionary 2.0 Grant programs can be found at ojjdpmt.ojp.gov/help/Grids/PDF/DiscretionaryGrid2.0_508.pdf.

If you have questions about the PMT or performance measures, please call the **OJJDP PMT Helpdesk at 866-487-0512** or send an e-mail to: OJJDPMT@ojp.usdoj.gov.

System Improvement Performance Measures			
Performance Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Record Data Here
Number of system-level initiatives implemented during the reporting period.	<p>Number of system-level initiatives implemented during the reporting period.</p> <p>Only count a system-level initiative as implemented on the date a new policy or practice is first put into operation to implement the new initiative. If your jurisdiction is implementing more than one system-level initiative during a reporting period, count each initiative separately.</p> <p>If significant changes are made to an original initiative design during the award cycle, count each time a new policy or practice is put into operation during a reporting period.</p> <p>A system-level initiative includes changing policies and practices to how the jurisdiction’s juvenile justice system operates. System-level initiatives impact multiple stakeholders, agencies, and organizations. System-level initiatives do</p>	A. Number of system-level initiatives implemented during the reporting period.	

**Juvenile Justice Emergency Planning Demonstration Program
Discretionary 2.0 Grant Program: Systems Improvement**

System Improvement Performance Measures			
Performance Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Record Data Here
	<p>not include starting a new program, unless the new program is in response to a change in policy or practice.</p> <p>Program records are the preferred data source.</p>		
Number of memoranda of understanding (MOU) implemented.	<p>MOUs implemented during the reporting period. Include all formal partnering or coordination agreements.</p> <p>Only count the date when the MOU was officially signed by all participants.</p> <p>Program records are the preferred data source.</p>	A. Number of MOUs implemented during the reporting period.	
Number of agency policies or procedures created, amended, or rescinded.	<p>Number of cross-program or agency policies or procedures created, amended, or rescinded during the reporting period. A policy is a plan or specific course of action that guides the general goals and directives of programs and/or agencies. Include policies that are relevant to the cross-programs or agencies that affect operations.</p> <p>Program records are the preferred data source.</p>	<p>A. Number of new cross-program or agency policies or procedures enacted during the reporting period.</p> <p>B. Number of cross-program or agency policies or procedures revised during the reporting period.</p> <p>C. Number of cross-program or agency policies or procedures rescinded during the reporting period.</p>	
Number of system planning activities conducted.	<p>Number of system planning activities undertaken during the reporting period. System planning activities focus on system-level initiatives and include creation of task forces or interagency committees, meetings held, needs assessments undertaken, etc.</p> <p>Program records are the preferred data source.</p>	A. Number of system planning activities undertaken.	
Number of systems that have established interagency planning bodies.	<p>Number of planning bodies or interagency coordinating groups established during the reporting period. Only count the first time the planning body or</p>	A. Number of planning bodies or interagency coordinating groups established during the reporting period.	

**Juvenile Justice Emergency Planning Demonstration Program
Discretionary 2.0 Grant Program: Systems Improvement**

System Improvement Performance Measures			
Performance Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Record Data Here
	<p>interagency coordinating group meets.</p> <p>Program records are the preferred data source.</p>		
Number of programs and/or agencies that have agreements to use common intake and/or assessment forms (Short Term)	<p>Number of programs and/or agencies that have established formal agreements to use common intake forms or assessment tools.</p> <p>Count the date when the formal agreement is signed by the program and/or agency and partners. A program and/or agency may have agreements with multiple partners to use the same common intake form or assessment tool. Count each partnership as a separate agreement.</p> <p>For programs and/or agencies with agreements to use both a common intake form and assessment tool(s) with the same or different partners, count each intake form, each assessment tool and each partnership as a separate agreement.</p> <p>Preferred data source is program records</p>	A. Number of programs and/or agencies with a formal agreement to use a common intake form and/or assessment tool	
Number of programs and/or agencies that are sharing automated data (Short Term)	<p>Number of programs and/or agencies using automated data systems that enable the appropriate sharing of justice information with partners during the reporting period.</p> <p>Only count the first time a program(s) and/or agency share justice information. A program and/or agency may have multiple partnerships to share justice information. Count each partnership between a program and/or agency separately.</p>	A. Number of programs and/or agencies sharing automated data	
Number of training events held by topic during the reporting period	Number of training events held by topic during the reporting period. If a training event discussed multiple	A. Total number of training events held during the reporting period	

**Juvenile Justice Emergency Planning Demonstration Program
Discretionary 2.0 Grant Program: Systems Improvement**

System Improvement Performance Measures			
Performance Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Record Data Here
	<p>topics, count the training in each category covered.</p> <p>Training on the JJDPa would cover the four core requirements and the Formula Grant program. deinstitutionalization of status offenders, separation of juveniles from adults in secure facilities, removal of juveniles from adult jails and lockups, and reduction of disproportionate minority contact within the juvenile justice system.</p> <p>Evidence-based practices and program training would cover programs and practices that have been shown, through rigorous evaluation and replication, to be effective at preventing or reducing juvenile delinquency related risk factors, such as substance abuse.</p> <p>Human trafficking training topics would cover the definitions of sex trafficking and labor trafficking. Training could also include risk factors for identifying human trafficking victims and appropriate services.</p> <p>Preferred data source is program records.</p>	<p>B. Number of training events held on the JJDPa during the reporting period</p> <p>C. Number of training events held on evidence-based practices and programs during the reporting period</p> <p>D. Number of training events held on human trafficking during the reporting period</p> <p>E. Number of training events held on other topics (enter text)</p>	
Number of people who attend training on human trafficking during the reporting period	Number of people who attend a training conducted by a grantee or training and technical assistance provider on human trafficking during the reporting period.	<p>A. Total number of people who attended training on human trafficking during the reporting period</p> <p>B. Number of program staff who attended training on human trafficking during the reporting period</p> <p>C. Number of community members who attended training on human trafficking during the reporting period</p>	

**Juvenile Justice Emergency Planning Demonstration Program
Discretionary 2.0 Grant Program: Systems Improvement**

System Improvement Performance Measures			
Performance Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Record Data Here
Number of program materials developed during the reporting period	The number of program materials developed during the reporting period. Include only substantive materials, such as program overviews, client workbooks, and lists of local service providers. Do not include program advertisements or administrative forms, such as sign-in sheets or client tracking forms. Count the number of pieces developed. Program records are the preferred data source.	A. Number of materials developed	
Number and percent of programs/initiatives employing evidence-based programs or practices	Report the number and percent of programs/initiatives employing evidence-based programs or practices. These include programs and practices that have been shown, through rigorous evaluation and replication, to be effective at preventing or reducing juvenile delinquency or related risk factors, such as substance abuse. Model programs can come from many valid sources (Blueprints for Violence Prevention, CrimeSolutions.gov, OJJDP's Model Programs Guide, SAMHSA's Model Programs, etc.).	A. Number of program/initiatives employing evidence-based programs or practices B. Total number of programs/initiatives C. Percent (A/B)	

Direct Service Intervention Performance Measures			
Performance Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Record Data Here
Number of program youth served.	An unduplicated count of the number of youth served by the program during the reporting period. Definition of the number of youth served for a reporting period is the number of program youth carried over from the previous reporting period, plus new admissions during the reporting period. Program records are the preferred data source.	A. Number of program youth carried over from the previous reporting period. B. New admissions during the reporting period. C. Sum (A+B).	

**Juvenile Justice Emergency Planning Demonstration Program
Discretionary 2.0 Grant Program: Systems Improvement**

Direct Service Intervention Performance Measures			
Performance Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Record Data Here
Number and percent of youth with whom an evidence-based program or practice was used	The number and percent of youth served with an evidence-based program or practice. These include programs and practices that have been shown, through rigorous evaluation and replication, to be effective at preventing or reducing juvenile delinquency or related risk factors, such as substance abuse. Model programs can come from many valid sources (Blueprints for Violence Prevention, CrimeSolutions.gov, OJJDP's Model Programs Guide, SAMHSA's Model Programs, etc.).	A. The number of youth served using an evidence-based program or practice B. Total number of youth served during the reporting period C. Percent (A/B)	
Number and percent of program youth completing program requirements.	Number and percent of program youth who have successfully fulfilled all program obligations and requirements. This does not include youth who are still in ongoing programs. Program obligations will vary by program, but they should be a predefined list of requirements or obligations that youth must meet before program completion, such as attendance and participation at a certain number of meetings or events. The total number of youth (the B value) includes those youth who have exited successfully as well as those who have exited unsuccessfully. Program records are the preferred data source.	A. Number of program youth who exited the program having completed program requirements. B. Total number of youth who exited the program during the reporting period (either successfully or unsuccessfully). C. Percent (A/B).	
Percent of program youth exhibiting a desired change in the targeted behavior (short and long term).	Number and percent of program youth who have exhibited a desired change in the targeted behavior during the reporting period or 6–12 months after exiting the program.	A. Number of program youth served during the reporting period or who exited the program 6–12 months ago exhibiting a desired change in the targeted behavior (behavior targeted will depend on	

**Juvenile Justice Emergency Planning Demonstration Program
Discretionary 2.0 Grant Program: Systems Improvement**

Direct Service Intervention Performance Measures			
Performance Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Record Data Here
		<p>specific program goals and activities and may include academic achievement, school attendance, social competence, etc.) reported in a pre- and post-test.</p> <p>B. Total number of youth receiving services for targeted behavior during the reporting period or 6–12 months ago.</p> <p>C. Percent (A/B).</p>	
Number and percent of program youth who offend (short term).	<p>Number and percent of participating program youth who were arrested or seen at a juvenile court for a delinquent offense during the reporting period. Appropriate for any youth-serving program. Official records (police, juvenile court) are the preferred data source.</p> <p>The number of youth tracked should reflect the number of program youth who are followed or monitored for arrests or offenses. Ideally this number should be all youth served by the program during the reporting period.</p> <p>A youth may be “committed” to a juvenile facility any time that he/she is held overnight. Certain jurisdictions refer to adjudications as “sentences.”</p> <p>Other sentences may be community-based sanctions, such as community service, probation, etc.</p> <p>Example: If I am tracking 50 program youth, then B would be 50. Of these 50 program youth I am tracking, if 25 were arrested or had a delinquent offense during the reporting period,</p>	<p>A. Total number of program youth served during the reporting period.</p> <p>B. Number of program youth tracked during the reporting period.</p> <p>C. Of B, the number of program youth who had an arrest or delinquent offense during the reporting period.</p> <p>D. Number of program youth who were committed to a juvenile facility during the reporting period.</p> <p>E. Number of program youth who were sentenced to adult prison during the reporting period.</p> <p>F. Number of youth who received another sentence during the reporting period.</p> <p>G. Percent offending (C/B).</p>	

**Juvenile Justice Emergency Planning Demonstration Program
Discretionary 2.0 Grant Program: Systems Improvement**

Direct Service Intervention Performance Measures			
Performance Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Record Data Here
	then C would be 25. This logic should follow for D, E, and F values. The percent of youth offending who are measured short term will be auto calculated in G.		
Number and percent of program youth who offend (long term).	<p>Number and percent of participating program youth who were arrested or seen at a juvenile court for a delinquent offense during the reporting period, Appropriate for any youth-serving program. Official records (police, juvenile court) are the preferred data source.</p> <p>The number of youth tracked should reflect the number of program youth who are followed or monitored for arrests or offenses 6–12 months after completing program requirements.</p> <p>A youth may be “committed” to a juvenile facility any time that he/she is held overnight. Certain jurisdictions refer to adjudications as “sentences.”</p> <p>Other sentences may be community-based sanctions, such as community service, probation, etc.</p> <p>Example: A grantee may have several youth who exited the program 6–12 months ago; however, they are tracking only 100 of them. Therefore, the A value will be 100. Of these 100 program youth who exited the program 6–12 months ago, 65 had an arrest or delinquent offense during the reporting period; therefore, the B value should be recorded as 65. This logic should follow for C, D, and E values. The percent of youth offending</p>	<p>A. Total number of program youth tracked during the reporting period who exited the program 6–12 months ago.</p> <p>B. Of A, the number of program youth who had an arrest or delinquent offense during the reporting period.</p> <p>C. Number of program youth who were committed to a juvenile facility during the reporting period.</p> <p>D. Number of program youth who were sentenced to adult prison during the reporting period.</p> <p>E. Number of youth who received another sentence during the reporting period.</p> <p>F. Percent offending (B/A).</p>	

**Juvenile Justice Emergency Planning Demonstration Program
Discretionary 2.0 Grant Program: Systems Improvement**

Direct Service Intervention Performance Measures			
Performance Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Record Data Here
	who are measured long term will be auto calculated in F.		
Number and percent of program youth who reoffend (short term).	<p>Number and percent of participating program youth who were arrested or seen at a juvenile court for a new delinquent offense during the reporting period. Appropriate for any youth-serving program.</p> <p>Official records (police, juvenile court) are the preferred data source.</p> <p>The number of youth tracked should reflect the number of program youth who are followed or monitored for new arrests or offenses. Ideally, this number should be all youth served by the program during the reporting period.</p> <p>Certain jurisdictions refer to adjudications as “sentences.”</p> <p>Other sentences may be community-based sanctions, such as community service, probation, etc.</p> <p>Example: If I am tracking 50 program youth, the B value would be 50. Of these 50 program youth I am tracking, if 25 had a new arrest or a new delinquent offense during the reporting period, then C would be 25. This logic should follow for D, E, and F values.</p> <p>The percent of youth reoffending who are measured short term will be auto calculated in G.</p>	<p>A. Total number of program youth served during the reporting period.</p> <p>B. Number of program youth tracked during the reporting period.</p> <p>C. Of B, the number of program youth who had a new arrest or new delinquent offense during the reporting period.</p> <p>D. Number of program youth who were recommitted to a juvenile facility during the reporting period.</p> <p>E. Number of program youth who were sentenced to adult prison during the reporting period.</p> <p>F. Number of youth who received another sentence during the reporting period.</p> <p>G. Percent recidivism (C/B).</p>	
Number and percent of program youth who reoffend (long term).	<p>Number and percent of participating program youth who were arrested or seen at a juvenile court for a new delinquent offense during the reporting period. Appropriate for any youth-serving program.</p>	<p>A. Total number of program youth tracked during the reporting period who exited the program 6–12 months ago.</p> <p>B. Of A, the number of program youth who had a new arrest or</p>	

**Juvenile Justice Emergency Planning Demonstration Program
Discretionary 2.0 Grant Program: Systems Improvement**

Direct Service Intervention Performance Measures			
Performance Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Record Data Here
	<p>Official records (police, juvenile court) are the preferred data source.</p> <p>The number of youth tracked should reflect the number of program youth who are followed or monitored 6–12 months after completing program requirements.</p> <p>Certain jurisdictions refer to adjudications as “sentences.”</p> <p>Other sentences may be community-based sanctions, such as community service, probation, etc.</p> <p>Example: A grantee may have several youth who exited the program 6–12 months ago; however, they are tracking only 100 of them. Therefore, the A value will be 100. Of these 100 program youth who exited the program 6–12 months ago, 65 had an arrest or delinquent offense during the reporting period; therefore, the B value should be recorded as 65. This logic should follow for C, D, and E values. The percent of youth offending who are measured long term will be auto calculated in F.</p>	<p>new delinquent offense during the reporting period.</p> <p>C. Number of program youth who were recommitted to a juvenile facility during the reporting period.</p> <p>D. Number of program youth who were sentenced to adult prison during the reporting period.</p> <p>E. Number of youth who received another sentence during the reporting period.</p> <p>F. Percent recidivism (B/A).</p>	
Number and percent of program youth who are victimized (short term).	<p>The number of youth identified by staff or self as a victim, by type of victimization, during the reporting period. Count youth as served by the program if they received a minimum of one service delivery, as defined by the grant program.</p> <p>Definitions:</p> <p>Victimization:</p> <p>Victimization can be physical or psychological; it also</p>	<p>A. Total number of program youth served during the reporting period.</p> <p>B. Number of program youth tracked during the reporting period for victimization.</p> <p>C. Of B, the number of program youth who were victimized during the reporting period.</p> <p>D. Percent victimized (C/B).</p> <p>E. Of youth tracked, the number of program youth who experienced</p>	

**Juvenile Justice Emergency Planning Demonstration Program
Discretionary 2.0 Grant Program: Systems Improvement**

Direct Service Intervention Performance Measures			
Performance Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Record Data Here
	<p>includes harm or adverse effects to youth’s property.</p> <p>Short-term data:</p> <p>Totals reflect all youth served by the program followed or monitored for victimization during the reporting period.</p> <p>Sex trafficking:</p> <p>Sex trafficking in which a commercial sex act is induced by force, fraud, or coercion, or in which the person induced to perform such act has not attained 18 years of age. Include all youth tracked during the reporting period and youth victimized prior to program participation.</p> <p>Labor trafficking:</p> <p>The recruitment, harboring, transportation, provision, or obtaining of a person for labor or services through the use of force, fraud, or coercion for the purpose of subjection to involuntary servitude, peonage, debt bondage, or slavery. Include all youth tracked during the reporting period and youth victimized prior to program participation.</p>	<p>violent victimization during the reporting period.</p> <p>F. Of youth tracked, the number of program youth who experienced nonviolent victimization during the reporting period.</p> <p>G. Of youth tracked, the number of all program youth identified as a sex trafficking victim during the reporting period.</p> <p>H. Of youth tracked, the number of new program youth identified as a sex trafficking victim during the reporting period.</p> <p>I. Of youth tracked, the number of all program youth identified as a labor trafficking victim during the reporting period.</p> <p>J. Of youth tracked, the number of new program youth identified as a labor trafficking victim during the reporting period.</p> <p>K. Of youth tracked, the number of all program youth identified as both a sex and labor trafficking victim during the reporting period.</p> <p>L. Of youth tracked, the number of new program youth identified as both a sex and labor trafficking victim during the reporting period.</p>	
Number and percent of program youth who are victimized (long term).	The number of youth who exited the program 6–12 months ago who were tracked or monitored by the program during the reporting period and identified by staff or self as a new victim, by type of victimization. If a youth	<p>A. Number of program youth who exited the program 6–12 months ago who the program is tracking or monitoring during the reporting period.</p> <p>B. Of A, the number of program youth who</p>	

**Juvenile Justice Emergency Planning Demonstration Program
Discretionary 2.0 Grant Program: Systems Improvement**

Direct Service Intervention Performance Measures			
Performance Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Record Data Here
	<p>experienced multiple types of victimization, count the youth in each relevant category.</p> <p>Definitions:</p> <p>Victimization:</p> <p>Victimization can be physical or psychological; it also includes harm or adverse effects to youth's property.</p> <p>Long-term data:</p> <p>Totals reflect all youth served by the program who exited the program 6–12 months ago and were followed or monitored for victimization during the reporting period.</p> <p>Sex trafficking:</p> <p>Sex trafficking in which a commercial sex act is induced by force, fraud, or coercion, or in which the person induced to perform such act has not attained 18 years of age.</p> <p>Labor trafficking:</p> <p>The recruitment, harboring, transportation, provision, or obtaining of a person for labor or services through the use of force, fraud, or coercion for the purpose of subjection to involuntary servitude, peonage, debt bondage, or slavery.</p>	<p>exited the program 6–12 months ago who were victimized during the reporting period.</p> <p>C. Percent victimized (B/A).</p> <p>D. Of youth tracked, the number of program youth who exited the program 6–12 months ago who experienced violent victimization during the reporting period.</p> <p>E. Of youth tracked, the number of program youth who exited the program 6–12 months ago who experienced nonviolent victimization during the reporting period.</p> <p>F. Of youth tracked, the number of program youth who exited the program 6–12 months ago identified as a sex trafficking victim during the reporting period.</p> <p>G. Of youth tracked, the number of program youth who exited the program 6–12 months ago identified as a labor trafficking victim during the reporting period.</p> <p>H. Of youth tracked, the number of program youth who exited the program 6–12 months ago identified as both a sex and labor trafficking victim during the reporting period.</p>	
Number and percent of youth who are revictimized (short term).	The number of youth identified by staff or self as a youth experiencing revictimization, by type of victimization, during the reporting period. Count youth as served by the program if they received a minimum of one service delivery, as defined by the grant program.	<p>A. Number of program youth tracked during the reporting period for revictimization.</p> <p>B. Of A, the number of program youth who were revictimized.</p> <p>C. Percent revictimized (B/A).</p> <p>D. Of youth tracked, the number of program</p>	

**Juvenile Justice Emergency Planning Demonstration Program
Discretionary 2.0 Grant Program: Systems Improvement**

Direct Service Intervention Performance Measures			
Performance Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Record Data Here
	<p>Definitions:</p> <p>Victimization: Victimization can be physical or psychological; it also includes harm or adverse effects to youth's property.</p> <p>Short-term data: Totals reflect all youth served by the program who were followed or monitored for revictimization during the reporting period.</p> <p>Sex trafficking: Sex trafficking in which a commercial sex act is induced by force, fraud, or coercion, or in which the person induced to perform such act has not attained 18 years of age.</p> <p>Labor trafficking: The recruitment, harboring, transportation, provision, or obtaining of a person for labor or services through the use of force, fraud, or coercion for the purpose of subjection to involuntary servitude, peonage, debt bondage, or slavery.</p>	<p>youth who experienced revictimization of violence during the reporting period.</p> <p>E. Of youth tracked, the number of program youth who experienced revictimization of nonviolence during the reporting period.</p> <p>F. Of youth tracked, the number of youth who experienced revictimization of sex trafficking during the reporting period.</p> <p>G. Of youth tracked, the number of program youth who experienced revictimization of labor trafficking during the reporting period.</p> <p>H. Of youth tracked, the number of program youth who experienced revictimization of both sex and labor trafficking during the reporting period.</p>	
Number and percent of youth who are revictimized (long term).	<p>The number of youth who exited the program 6–12 months ago who were tracked or monitored by the program during the reporting period and identified by staff or self as a youth experiencing revictimization, by type of victimization. If a youth experienced multiple types of revictimization, count the youth in each relevant category.</p> <p>Definitions: Victimization: Victimization can be physical or psychological; it also</p>	<p>A. Number of program youth who exited the program 6–12 months ago tracked for revictimization.</p> <p>B. Of A, the number of program youth who were revictimized during the reporting period.</p> <p>C. Percent revictimized (B/A).</p> <p>D. Of tracked youth, the number of program youth who exited the program 6–12 months ago who experienced revictimization of violence during the reporting period.</p>	

**Juvenile Justice Emergency Planning Demonstration Program
Discretionary 2.0 Grant Program: Systems Improvement**

Direct Service Intervention Performance Measures			
Performance Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Record Data Here
	<p>includes harm or adverse effects to youth’s property.</p> <p>Long-term data:</p> <p>Totals reflect all youth served by the program followed or monitored for revictimization 6–12 months after the reporting period.</p> <p>Sex trafficking:</p> <p>Sex trafficking in which a commercial sex act is induced by force, fraud, or coercion, or in which the person induced to perform such act has not attained 18 years of age.</p> <p>Labor trafficking:</p> <p>The recruitment, harboring, transportation, provision, or obtaining of a person for labor or services through the use of force, fraud, or coercion for the purpose of subjection to involuntary servitude, peonage, debt bondage, or slavery.</p>	<p>E. Of tracked youth, the number of program youth who exited the program 6–12 months ago who experienced revictimization of nonviolence during the reporting period.</p> <p>F. Of tracked youth, the number of program youth who exited the program 6–12 months ago who experienced revictimization of sex trafficking during the reporting period.</p> <p>G. Of tracked youth, the number of program youth who exited the program 6–12 months ago who experienced revictimization of labor trafficking during the reporting period.</p> <p>H. Number of all program youth who exited the program who experienced revictimization of both sex and labor trafficking during the reporting period.</p>	
Number of services provided to trafficking victims during the reporting period by type of service	The number of youth trafficking victims who receive each type of service at least once during the reporting period.	<p>A. Number of youth trafficking victims who received mentoring services during the reporting period</p> <p>B. Number of youth trafficking victims who received behavioral health services during the reporting period</p> <p>C. Number of youth trafficking victims who received mental health care during the reporting period</p> <p>D. Number of youth trafficking victims who received case management services during the reporting period</p> <p>E. Number of youth trafficking victims who</p>	

**Juvenile Justice Emergency Planning Demonstration Program
Discretionary 2.0 Grant Program: Systems Improvement**

Direct Service Intervention Performance Measures			
Performance Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Record Data Here
		<p>received basic needs during the reporting period</p> <p>F. Number youth trafficking victims who received coaching during the reporting period</p> <p>G. Number of youth trafficking victims who received life skills training during the reporting period</p> <p>H. Number of youth trafficking victims who received primary health care during the reporting period</p> <p>I. Number youth trafficking victims who received housing services during the reporting period</p> <p>J. Number of youth trafficking victims who received other services during the reporting period</p>	