

OFFICE OF JUVENILE JUSTICE AND DELINQUENCY PREVENTION
TITLE II FORMULA GRANT PROGRAM

PA 25: INDIGENT DEFENSE

Grantees are required to choose at least two performance measures relevant to their program

Hiring court-appointed defenders and providing training, coordination, and innovative strategies for indigent defense.

Enter data for all mandatory and applicable measures.

Enter zero (0) if you are able to collect and report data for the measure but there was no activity during the reporting period. If you enter 0 for another reason (e.g., data not tracked, activity not applicable), please explain in the text box available at the end of data reporting.

OFFICE OF JUVENILE JUSTICE AND DELINQUENCY PREVENTION
TITLE II FORMULA GRANT PROGRAM

PA 25: INDIGENT DEFENSE

Grantees are required to choose at least two performance measures relevant to their program

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Record Data Here
1	Number of different indigent defense programs in operation	This measure indicates program implementation. Appropriate for grantees that administer more than one indigent defense program. Report the maximum number of different indigent defense programs in operation simultaneously. "Different" implies that the programs either employ different techniques or activities, target different populations, or have different goals. Examples can include public defender programs, assigned counsel programs, and contract attorneys.	A. Number of different indigent defense programs in operation during the reporting period	
2	Number of types of indigent defense programs	This measure determines program scope. Appropriate for programs that offer indigent defense programming. Report the raw number of types of indigent defense programs offered. Include both service types directly delivered by the program and service types that youth have access to through the program. Examples can include public defender organizations, community defender organizations, and panel attorneys.	A. Number of types of indigent defense programs in operation during the reporting period	
3	Amount of funds allocated to indigent defense programming	This measure determines the funding distribution. Appropriate for any project paying for indigent defense programming. Report the raw dollar amount of Title II funds spent on indigent defense programming.	A. Number of dollars spent on indigent defense programming	
4	Number and percent of court/probation units with indigent defense programs in place	This measure determines coverage of the graduated sanctions approach within court and probation departments. Most appropriate for projects run through local units of government or tribal equivalent. Count would be the raw number of courts or probation departments that are implementing or are in the process of implementing an indigent defense program ("in the process" includes activities such as training staff on indigent defense, developing policies on the use of indigent programming principles, or developing subcontracts with service providers in anticipation of the program). Percent is the raw number divided by the number of court/probation units in operation.	A. Number of units with indigent defense programming in operation B. Number of units C. Percent (A/B)	
5	Number of indigent defense program slots	This measure determines program scope. Appropriate for programs that offer indigent defense programming. Report the raw number of indigent defense programming slots that the program has at any one time. Include both services directly delivered by the program and services that youth have access to through the program. For example, if a program can process victim impact statements for 5 juvenile offenders and serve 25 youth through a victim-empathy class, the number of slots would be 30.	A. Number of indigent defense program slots	
6	Number of training requests RECEIVED	This measure represents the number of training requests received during the reporting period. Requests can come from individuals or organizations served.	A. Number of training requests received during the reporting period	
7	Number of technical assistance requests RECEIVED	This measure represents the number of technical assistance requests received during the reporting period. Requests can come from individuals or organizations served.	A. Number of technical assistance requests received during the reporting period	
8	Number of program materials developed during the reporting period	This measure represents the number of program materials developed during the reporting period. Include only substantive materials, such as program overviews, client workbooks, and lists of local service providers. Do not include program advertisements or administrative forms, such as sign-in sheets or client-tracking forms. Count the number of pieces developed. Program records are the preferred data source.	A. Number of program materials developed	

OFFICE OF JUVENILE JUSTICE AND DELINQUENCY PREVENTION
TITLE II FORMULA GRANT PROGRAM

PA 25: INDIGENT DEFENSE

Grantees are required to choose at least two performance measures relevant to their program

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Record Data Here
9	Number of planning or training events held	This measure represents the number of planning or training activities held during the reporting period. Planning and training activities include creation of task forces or interagency committees, meetings held, needs assessments undertaken, etc. Preferred data source is program records.	A. Number of planning or training activities held during the reporting period	
10	Number of people trained during the reporting period	This measure represents the number of people trained during the reporting period. The number is the raw number of people receiving any formal training relevant to the program or their position as program staff. Include any training from any source or medium received during the reporting period as long as receipt of training can be verified. Training does not have to be completed during the reporting period. Preferred data source is program records.	A. Number of people trained	
11	Percent of those served by training and technical assistance (TTA) who reported implementing an evidence-based program and/or practice during or after the TTA	This measure represents the number and percent of programs served by TTA that reported implementing an evidence-based program and/or practice during or after the TTA. Evidence-based programs and practices include program models that have been shown, through rigorous evaluation and replication, to be effective at preventing or reducing juvenile delinquency or related risk factors, such as substance abuse.	A. Number of programs served by TTA that reported using an evidence-based program and/or practice B. Number of programs served by TTA C. Percent of programs served by TTA that report using an evidence-based program and/or practice (A/B)	
12	Number of program policies changed, improved, or rescinded	This measure represents the number of cross-program or agency policies or procedures changed, improved, or rescinded during the reporting period. A policy is a plan or specific course of action that guides the general goals and directives of programs and/or agencies. Include program policies that are relevant to the topic area or affect program operations. Preferred data source is program records.	A. Number of programs policies changed during the reporting period B. Number of programs policies rescinded during the reporting period	
13	Percent of people exhibiting an increased knowledge of the program area	This measure represents the number of people who exhibit an increased knowledge of the program area after participating in training. Preferred data source are pre- and post-tests.	A. Number of people exhibiting an increase in knowledge post-training B. Number of people trained during the reporting period C. Percent of people trained who exhibited increased knowledge (A/B)	
14	Percent of organizations reporting improvements in operations based on training and technical assistance	This measure represents the number and percent of organizations reporting improvements in operations as a result of TTA 1 to 6 months post-service.	A. The number of organizations reporting improvements in operations as a result of TTA 1 to 6 months post-service B. The total number of organizations served by TTA during the reporting period C. Percent of organizations reporting improvements (A/B)	
15	Number and percent of cases for which indigent defense options are used as part of the court/probation process	This measures system accountability. Appropriate for grantees with operational indigent defense programs. Report the raw number of case dispositions that include indigent defense programming. Percent is the raw number divided by the number of case dispositions. Include diversion, formal adjudications, warrant hearings, and all other methods of resolving cases against juvenile offenders.	A. Number of case dispositions that include indigent defense programming B. Number of case dispositions C. Percent (A/B)	

**OFFICE OF JUVENILE JUSTICE AND DELINQUENCY PREVENTION
TITLE II FORMULA GRANT PROGRAM**

PA 25: INDIGENT DEFENSE

Grantees are required to choose at least two performance measures relevant to their program

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Record Data Here
16	Number and percent of cases for which the judge has complete youth case files prior to sentencing	This measures system accountability. Appropriate for indigent defense programs. Report the raw number of case files that have all of the information the judge needs to sentence a youth (e.g., needs assessments, victim impact statements, juvenile justice history). If there are no formal requirements, determine a minimum criteria for a complete file, and use those criteria as the requirement.	A. Number of cases for which judges have complete assessment data prior to sentencing B. Number of cases sentenced C. Percent (A/B)	
17	Number and percent of youth that through the court or probation system participate in indigent defense programming	This measures system accountability. Appropriate for entities that use indigent defense programming (whether they actually deliver it themselves or not). Report the raw number of youth to participate in indigent defense programming. Percent is the raw number divided by the total number of youth processed by the grantee.	A. Number of youth to participate in indigent defense programming B. Number of youth processed C. Percent (A/B)	
18	Number of different indigent defense program options available	This measure determines coverage of the indigent defense approach. Most appropriate for grantees implementing or referring youth to indigent defense programming. Report raw number of different indigent defense sanctions available to youth. "Different" implies that the programs either employ different techniques or activities, target different populations, or have different goals.	A. Number of different sanctions available to youth	
19	Number and percent of juvenile justice offenses for which indigent defense programs are an option	This measure determines coverage of the indigent defense program approach. Most appropriate for programs that refer youth to indigent defense programs. Report the number of juvenile justice offenses (criminal, statutory, or civil) for which indigent defense programming may be considered as an option. Percent is the raw number divided by the total number of offenses on the books.	A. Number of offenses for which indigent defense programming is an option B. Number of offenses on the books C. Percent (A/B)	
20	Average number of youth per probation officer	This measures infrastructure. Appropriate for programs that have probation officers. Report the number of open cases divided by the number of probation officers.	A. Number of open cases B. Number of probation officers C. Average number per officer (A/B)	
21	Average number of supervision meetings per youth per month	This measure determines whether indigent defense programs are being used as intended with the frequent use of supervision meetings and serves to measure system accountability. Appropriate for all programs implementing indigent defense programs. Report the total number of supervision meetings held with youth in the preceding month divided by the number of youth served through indigent defense programs during that month. Meetings are not limited to face-to-face contact but may include other forms of contact such as telephone calls.	A. Number of supervision meetings in preceding month B. Number of youth served in preceding month C. Average number of meetings (B/A)	
22	Number and percent of noncompliance events	This measure determines if youth are acting more accountably as indicated by their fulfillment of their program requirements. Report the raw number of times youth did not do things they specifically had agreed to do in their behavioral contracts or according to their sanctions schedule or did things they specifically agreed not to do. Percent would be the raw number divided by the total number of things the youth were expected to do (or not to do). For example, if youth were supposed to attend school every day, each unexcused day missed would be a noncompliant event. Percent would be the number of school days missed divided by the total number of days school was in session during the reporting period.	A. Number of noncompliance events B. Number of youth requirements C. Percent (A/B)	

OFFICE OF JUVENILE JUSTICE AND DELINQUENCY PREVENTION
TITLE II FORMULA GRANT PROGRAM

PA 25: INDIGENT DEFENSE

Grantees are required to choose at least two performance measures relevant to their program

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Record Data Here
23	Number and percent of probation contacts that are proactive	This measures system accountability. Appropriate for programs that staff probation officers. Report the raw number of probation contacts with youth that were not specifically required by law (e.g., not based on a court date or based on youth committing an infraction). Percent is the raw number divided by the total number of probation contacts with youth.	A. Number of proactive probation contacts B. Number of probation contacts C. Percent (A/B)	
24	Number and percent of youth to have a behavioral contract developed at intake	This measures system accountability. Appropriate for grantees with operational indigent defense programs. Report the raw number of youth who have a behavioral contract developed at intake. Percent is the raw number divided by the number of youth to go through intake.	A. Number of youth with a behavioral contract at intake B. Number of youth to go through intake C. Percent (A/B)	
25	Average time in hours from infraction to sanction	This measures system accountability. Appropriate for grantees with operational indigent defense programs. Report the average number of hours it takes to sanction youth after they violate the behavioral contract, the program rules, school behavior rules, or guidelines. Include only closed cases (i.e., those in which a sanction has been administered or the case dismissed).	A. Average number of hours from infraction to sanction	
26	Number and percent of modifications that resulted in more restrictive conditions	This measures youth accountability. Appropriate for grantees that can modify a youth's conditions of release or probation requirements. Report the raw number of times that modifications include more restrictive conditions on youth (e.g., moving from monthly drug testing to weekly). Percent is the raw number divided by the total number of modifications to conditions of release.	A. Number of times modifications were for more strict sanctions B. Number of modifications to release conditions C. Percent (A/B)	
27	Number and percent of youth to complete their justice requirements successfully	This measure determines if youth are acting more accountably as indicated by their fulfillment of their program requirements. Report the raw number of youth to complete the program successfully. Percent would be the raw number divided by the total number of youth served.	A. Number of youth to successfully complete program requirements B. Number of youth served C. Percent (A/B)	
28	Number and percent of youth to have revocation hearings	This measures youth accountability. Appropriate for grantees that can revoke a youth's release or probation. Report the raw number of youth to have revocation hearings. Percent is the raw number divided by the total number of youth in the program.	A. Number of youth to have revocation hearings B. Number of youth in the program C. Percent (A/B)	

Additional Comments

Please Note: Enter zero (0) if you are able to collect and report data for the measure but there was no activity during the reporting period. If you enter 0 for another reason (e.g., data not tracked, activity not applicable), please explain.