OFFICE OF JUVENILE JUSTICE AND DELINQUENCY PREVENTION TITLE II FORMULA GRANT PROGRAM

PA 31: STATE ADVISORY GROUP (SAG) ALLOCATION

OUTPUT PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Bold indicates mandatory indicators.

#	Output Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Provides	Record Data Here
1	Number of SAG committee meetings held	The number of State Advisory Group (SAG) committee meetings held during the reporting period. Committees meeting documentation or minutes are the preferred data sources.	A. Number of SAG committee meetings	
2	Number of SAG subcommittee meetings held	The number of State Advisory Group (SAG) subcommittee meetings held during the reporting period. Subcommittees meeting documentation or minutes are the preferred data sources.	A.Number of SAG subcommittee meetings	
3	Annual report submitted to the Governor	Report whether the annual report was submitted to the Governor.	A. Was the report submitted?	
4	Number of grants funded with Formula Grants funds	The number of grants funded with Formula Grants funds during the reporting period.	A. Number of grants funded	
5	Number and percent of programs using evidence-based models	The number and percent of programs funded by the SAG using an evidence-based model. Evidence-based models include programs that have been shown, through rigorous evaluation and replication, to be effective at preventing or reducing juvenile delinquency or related risk factors, such as substance abuse. Model programs can come from many valid sources (e.g., Blueprints, OJJDP's Model Programs Guide, SAMHSA's Model Programs, etc.).	 A. The number of programs funded using an evidence-based model B. The number of programs funded C. Percent (A/B) 	
6	Number and percent of SAG members trained	The number and percent of SAG members who participated in a SAG training during the reporting period. The number is the raw number of SAG members who received formal SAG training.	A. Number of SAG that participated in trainingB. Total number of SAG membersC. Percent (A/B)	
7	Number of grant applications reviewed and commented on	The number of grant applications reviewed and commented on to guide the development of juvenile justice programming in the state.	A. Number of grant applications reviewed	
8	Number and percent of activities/meetings that involve youth	At least one-fifth of SAG committee members must be youth members (age 24 or younger when elected to the committee). The youth members must be involved in SAG activities, such as meetings. Committees meeting documentation or minutes are the preferred data sources.	 A. Number of activities/meetings attended by youth B. Total number of SAG activities/meetings C. Percent (A/B) 	
9	Number of ULGs consulted during preparation of 3 Year Plan	The number of units of local government (ULGs) consulted with during preparation of the state Plan.	A. Number of ULGs consulted with during the reporting period	
10	Number and percent of SAG members contributing to plan or plan update	The number of SAG committee members who participate in the development of the state Plan or Plan Update. Committees meeting minutes or documentation are the preferred data sources.	 A. Total number of SAG members contributing to state plan or plan update B. Total number of SAG members C. Percent (A/B) 	

OFFICE OF JUVENILE JUSTICE AND DELINQUENCY PREVENTION TITLE II FORMULA GRANT PROGRAM

PA 31: STATE ADVISORY GROUP (SAG) ALLOCATION

OUTPUT PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Bold indicates mandatory indicators.

#	Output Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Provides	Record Data Here
11	Percent of SAG allocation used	The amount of Formula Grants money in whole dollars that are allocated and used for SAG activities during the reporting period. Program records are the preferred data source.	A. Dollars used for SAG activities B. Dollars allocated to SAG activities C. Percent (A/B)	

OFFICE OF JUVENILE JUSTICE AND DELINQUENCY PREVENTION TITLE II FORMULA GRANT PROGRAM

PA 31: STATE ADVISORY GROUP (SAG) ALLOCATION

OUTCOME PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Bold indicates mandatory indicators.

#	Outcome Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Provides	Record Data Here
12	NUMBER AND PERCENT OF PLAN RECOMMENDATIONS IMPLEMENTED	Number and percent of SAG recommendations for the state Plan implemented during the reporting period. Committee meeting minutes is the preferred data source.	A. Number of recommendations implemented B. Number of recommendations C. Percent (A/B)	
13	Number of FG-funded programs sustained after 3 years	Number of FG funded programs sustained through other funds at the end of the 3 -year grant funding cycle.	A. Number of FG funded programs sustained	
14	Number and percent of SAG members show increased knowledge of their program areas (for which they have oversight)	The number and percent of SAG members who gained a greater knowledge of the program areas for which they are responsible (e.g., Core Requirements, state priority funding areas), program through trainings or other formal learning opportunities. Appropriate for any program whose staff received program-related training. Training does not need to have been given by the program. Self-report data collected using training evaluation or assessment forms are the expected data source.	 A. Number of staff trained during the reporting period who report increased knowledge B. Number of staff trained during the reporting period C. Percent (A/B) 	