OFFICE OF JUVENILE JUSTICE AND DELINQUENCY PREVENTION TITLE II FORMULA GRANT PROGRAM

PA 17: JAIL REMOVAL (STATE LEVEL) OUTPUT PERFORMANCE MEASURES

#	Output Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Record Data Here
1	FORMULA GRANTS FUNDS AWARDED FOR JAIL REMOVAL	The amount of Formula Grants funds in whole dollars that are awarded for jail removal during the reporting period. Program records are the preferred data source.	A. Funds awarded to jail removal core requirement	
2	Number of site-specific plans developed	The number of site-specific plans on jail removal that were developed during reporting period of the program. Program records are the preferred data source.	A. Number of site-specific plans developed	
3	Number of site-specific plans implemented	The number of site-specific plans on jail removal that were implemented during reporting period of the program. Program records are the preferred data source.	A. Number of site-specific plans implemented	
4	Number and percent of staff trained	The number and percent of staff that are trained during reporting period. The number is the raw number of staff to receive any formal training relevant to the program or their position as program staff. Include any training from any source or medium received during the reporting period as long as receipt can be verified. Training does not have to have been completed during the reporting period. To get the percent divide the raw number by the total number of program staff. Program records are the preferred data source.	A. Number of staff who participated in training B. Total number of program staff C. Percent A/B	
5	Number of hours of program staff training provided	The number of training hours that program staff are provided during the reporting period. Training includes in-house and external trainings.	A. Number of hours of training provided to staff	
6	Number of transportation plans developed	The number of transportation plans developed during reporting period. Include all formal partnership or coordination agreements. Program records are the preferred data source.	A. Number of plans developed	
7	Number of materials developed	The number of program materials that were developed during the reporting period. Include only substantive materials such as program guidance manuals, CM manuals, monitoring tools, i.e., co-located facility checklists, and model facility checklists, etc. Count the number of pieces developed.	A. Number of materials developed	
8	Number and percent of site monitoring/TA visits conducted	The number of onsite inspection visits made to adult jails or lockups by the state Compliance Monitor during the reporting period or the number of public and private secure detention centers, jails, lockups, and correctional facilities receiving technical assistance by state or Federal representatives during the reporting period. The Annual Compliance Monitoring Report is the preferred data source.	A. Number of on-site monitoring visits or TA visits	
9	Number of shelter beds contracted	The number of beds contracted through private or public providers for shelter care during the reporting period.	A. Number of shelter beds contracted	
10	Needs assessment completed (Y/N)	Report whether a needs assessment was conducted to determine whether and how the program would be implemented.	A. Was a needs assessment conducted?	

OFFICE OF JUVENILE JUSTICE AND DELINQUENCY PREVENTION TITLE II FORMULA GRANT PROGRAM

PA 17: JAIL REMOVAL (STATE LEVEL)

OUTPUT PERFORMANCE MEASURES

#	Output Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Record Data Here
11	Number of policies or procedures created, amended, or rescinded	The number of policies or procedures created, amended or rescinded during the reporting period. A policy is a plan or specific course of action that guides the general goals and directives of the program or agency. Include policies that are either relevant to the topic area of the program or policies that affect program operations.	A. Number of policies or procedures created, amended or rescinded	
12	Number of risk assessment instruments (RAI) developed	Report how many risk assessment instrument s (RAI) were developed during the reporting period. RAI's are used to determine the supervision needs of the youth.	A. Number of risk assessment instruments developed?	
13	Number of MOUs developed	The number of Memoranda of Understanding or interagency agreements developed during reporting period of the program. Include all formal partnership or coordination agreements. Program records are the preferred data source.	A. Number of MOUs in effect	
14	Number of programs implemented	The number of new programs implemented during the reporting period.	A. The number of mentors who have left the program during the reporting period A. Number of programs created and/or implemented during the reporting period B. The total number of mentors in the program during the reporting period C. B - A/B = Mentor Retention Rate	
15	Number of facilities receiving TA	The number of public and private secure detention centers, jails, lockups, and correctional facilities receiving technical assistance by state or federal representatives during the reporting period. The Annual Compliance Monitoring Report is the preferred data source.	A. Number of facilities receiving TA	
16	Number of program youth served	An unduplicated count of the number of youth served by the program during the reporting period. Definition of the number of youth served for a reporting period is the number of program youth carried over from previous reporting period, plus new admissions during the reporting period. In calculating the 3-year summary, the total number of youth served is the number of participants carried over from the year previous to the first fiscal year, plus all new admissions during the 3 reporting fiscal years. Program records are the preferred data source.	A. Number of program youth carried from the previous reporting period, plus new admissions during the reporting period	

OFFICE OF JUVENILE JUSTICE AND DELINQUENCY PREVENTION

TITLE II FORMULA GRANT PROGRAM

PA 17: JAIL REMOVAL (STATE LEVEL)

OUTCOME PERFORMANCE MEASURES

#	Outcome Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Record Data Here
17	Number and percent of program youth who OFFEND during the reporting period (short term)	The number and percent of participating program youth who were arrested or seen at a juvenile court for a delinquent offense during the reporting period. Appropriate for any youth-serving program. Official records (police, juvenile court) are the preferred data source. The number of youth tracked should reflect the number of program youth that are followed or monitored for arrests or offenses. Ideally this number should be all youth served by the program during this reporting period. Ex. If I am serving 100 youth in my program, A would be 100. If I am following up with 50 of them, B would be 50. Of these 50 program youth I'm tracking, if 25 of them were arrested or had a delinquent offense during this reporting period, then C would be 25.	A. Total number of program youth served B. Number of program youth tracked during this reporting period C. Of B, the number of program youth who had a new arrest or delinquent offense during this reporting period D. Number of program youth who were recommitted to a juvenile facility during this reporting period E. Number of program youth who were sentenced to adult prison during this reporting period F. Number of youth who received another sentence during this reporting period G. Percent OFFENDING (C/B)	
18	Number and percent of program youth who OFFEND during the reporting period (long term)	The number and percent of participating program youth who were arrested or seen at a juvenile court for a delinquent offense during the reporting period. Appropriate for any youth-serving program. Official records (police, juvenile court) are the preferred data source. The number of youth tracked should reflect the number of program youth that are followed or monitored for arrests or offenses 6-12 months after exiting the program. Ex. I have a lot of youth who exited my program 6-12 months ago, but we are only tracking 100 of them, so A is 100. Of these 100 program youth that exited the program 6-12 months ago 65 had a new arrest or delinquent offense during this reporting period, so B is 65.	A. Number of program youth who exited the program 6-12 months ago that you are tracking B. Of A, the number of program youth who had a new arrest or delinquent offense during this reporting period C. Number of program youth who were recommitted to a juvenile facility during this reporting period D. Number of program youth who were sentenced to adult prison during this reporting period E. Number of youth who received another sentence during this reporting period F. Percent of Long Term RECIDIVISM (B/A)	
19	Number and percent of program youth who RE-OFFEND (short term)	The number and percent of participating program youth who were arrested or seen at a juvenile court for a new delinquent offense during the reporting period. Appropriate for any youth-serving program. Official records (police, juvenile court) are the preferred data source. The number of youth tracked should reflect the number of program youth that are followed or monitored for new arrests or offenses. Ideally this number should be all youth served by the program during this reporting period. Ex. If I am serving 100 youth in my program, A would be 100. If I am following up with 50 of them, B would be 50. Of these 50 program youth I'm tracking, if 25 of them were arrested or had a delinquent offense during this reporting period, then C would be 25.	A. Total number of program youth served B. Of A, the number of program youth who had a new arrest or delinquent offense during this reporting period C. Number of program youth who were recommitted to a juvenile facility during this reporting period D. Number of program youth who were sentenced to adult prison during this reporting period E. Number of youth who received another sentence during this reporting period F. Percent of Long Term RECIDIVISM (B/A)	

OFFICE OF JUVENILE JUSTICE AND DELINQUENCY PREVENTION

TITLE II FORMULA GRANT PROGRAM

PA 17: JAIL REMOVAL (STATE LEVEL) OUTCOME PERFORMANCE MEASURES

#	Outcome Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Record Data Here
20	Number and percent of program youth who RE-OFFEND (long term)	The number and percent of participating program youth who were arrested or seen at a juvenile court for a new delinquent offense during the reporting period. Appropriate for any youth-serving program. Official records (police, juvenile court) are the preferred data source. The number of youth tracked should reflect the number of program youth that are followed or monitored for new arrests or offenses 6-12 months after exiting the program. Ex. I have a lot of youth who exited my program 6-12 months ago, but we are only tracking 100 of them, so A is 100. Of these 100 program youth that exited the program 6-12 months ago 65 had a new arrest or delinquent offense during this reporting period, so B is 65.	A. Number of program youth who exited the program 6-12 months ago that you are tracking B. Of A, the number of program youth who had a new arrest or delinquent offense during this reporting period C. Number of program youth who were recommitted to a juvenile facility during this reporting period D. Number of program youth who were sentenced to adult prison during this reporting period E. Number of youth who received another sentence during this reporting period F. Percent of Long Term RECIDIVISM (B/A)	
21	Change in the number of violations of the Jail Removal Requirement	The change in the number of violations of the jail removal requirement during the reporting period compared with the previous reporting period, according to the definition in the OJJDP Guidance Manual for Monitoring Facilities Under the JJDP Act of 2002. The Annual Compliance Monitoring Report is the preferred data source.	A. Number of violations of jail removal during the current reporting period B. Number of violations of jail removal during the previous reporting period C. Percent Change (A-B/B)	
22	Number and percent of program staff with increased knowledge of program area	The number and percent of program staff who gained a greater knowledge of the program area through trainings or other formal learning opportunities. Appropriate for any program whose staff received program-related training. Training does not need to have been given by the program. Self-report data collected using training evaluation or assessment forms are the expected data source.	A. Number of staff trained during the reporting period who report increased knowledge B. Number of staff during the reporting period C. Percent A/B	