All award recipients are required to provide data for each applicable OJJDP Core Measure shown below. The data entered as “data grantee reports” should represent **ALL** youth who participate in programs funded by OJJDP awards. The numbering represented here may not always match the numbering in the DCTAT system. All percentages will be auto-calculated for you, but they have been included here so you can see what the data are used for.

| **#** | **OJJDP Core Measure** | | **Definition** | **Data Grantee Reports** | **Record Data Here** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | **Number and percent of programs/initiatives employing evidence-based programs or practices** | | Report the number and percent of programs/initiatives employing evidence based programs or practices. These include programs and practices that have been shown, through rigorous evaluation and replication, to be effective at preventing or reducing juvenile delinquency or related risk factors, such as substance abuse. Model programs can come from many valid sources (e.g., Blueprints, OJJDP’s Model Programs Guide, SAMHSA’s Model Programs, state model program resources, etc.). | 1. Number of program/initiatives employing evidence based programs or practices 2. Total number of programs/initiatives 3. Percent (A/B) |  |
| 2 | **Number and percent of youth with whom an evidence-based program or practice was used** | | The number and percent of youth served with whom an evidence-based program or practice was used. These include programs and practices that have been shown, through rigorous evaluation and replication, to be effective at preventing or reducing juvenile delinquency or related risk factors, such as substance abuse. Model programs can come from many valid sources (e.g., Blueprints for Violence Prevention, OJJDP’s Model Programs Guide, SAMHSA’s Model Programs, etc.). | 1. The number of youth served using an evidence-based program or practice 2. Total number of youth served during the reporting period 3. Percent (A/B) |  |
| 3 | **Number of program youth and/or families served during the reporting period** | | An unduplicated count of the number of youth (or youth and families) **served** by the program during the reporting period.  Program records are the preferred data source. | 1. Number of program youth/families carried over from the previous reporting period 2. New admissions during the reporting period 3. Total youth/families served during the reporting period (A+B) |  |
| 4 | **Number and percent of program youth completing program requirements** | | The number and percent of program youth who have **successfully** fulfilled all program obligations and requirements. This does not include youth who are still participating in ongoing programs. Program obligations will vary by program, but should be a predefined list of requirements or obligations that clients must meet before program completion.  The total number of youth (the “B” value) includes those youth who have exited successfully and unsuccessfully.  Program records are the preferred data source. | 1. Number of program youth who exited the program having completed program requirements 2. Total number of youth who exited the program during the reporting period (either successfully or unsuccessfully) 3. Percent (A/B) |  |
| 5 | **Number and percent of program youth who OFFEND**  (short term) | | The number and percent of participating program youth who were arrested or seen at a juvenile court for a delinquent offense during the reporting period. Appropriate for any youth-serving program. Official records (police, juvenile court) are the preferred data source.  The number of youth tracked should reflect the number of program youth that are followed or monitored for arrests or offenses. Ideally this number should be all youth served by the program during the reporting period.  A youth may be ‘committed’ to a juvenile facility anytime that he/she is held overnight.  Certain jurisdictions refer to adjudications as ‘sentences’.  Other sentences may be community based sanctions, such as community service, probation etc.  Example: If I am tracking 50 program youth then, ‘B’ would be 50. Of these 50 program youth that I am tracking, if 25 of them were arrested or had a delinquent offense during the reporting period, then ‘C’ would be 25. This logic should follow for ‘D’ and ‘E’ and ‘F’ values. The percent of youth offending measured short-term will be auto calculated in ‘G’. | 1. Total number of program youth served 2. Number of program youth tracked during the reporting period 3. Of B, the number of program youth who had an arrest or delinquent offense during the reporting period 4. Number of program youth who were committed to a juvenile facility during the reporting period 5. Number of program youth who were sentenced to adult prison during the reporting period 6. Number of youth who received another sentence during the reporting period 7. Percent OFFENDING (C/B) |  |
| 6 | **Number and percent of program youth who OFFEND**  (long term) | | The number and percent of participating program youth who were arrested or seen at a juvenile court for a delinquent offense during the reporting period. Appropriate for any youth-serving program. Official records (police, juvenile court) are the preferred data source.  The number of youth tracked should reflect the number of program youth that are followed or monitored for arrests or offenses 6-12 months after exiting the program.  A youth may be ‘committed’ to a juvenile facility anytime that he/she is held overnight.  Certain jurisdictions refer to adjudications as ‘sentences’.  Other sentences may be community based sanctions, such as community service, probation etc.  Example: A grantee may have several youth who exited the program 6-12 months ago, however, they are tracking only 100 of them, therefore, the ‘A’ value will be 100. Of these 100 program youth that exited the program 6-12 months ago, 65 had an arrest or delinquent offense during the reporting period, therefore the ‘B’ value should be recorded as 65. This logic should follow for ‘C’ and ‘D’ and ‘E’ values. The percent of youth offending measured long-term will be auto calculated in ‘F’. | 1. Total number of program youth who exited the program 6-12 months ago that you are tracking 2. Of A, the number of program youth who had an arrest or delinquent offense during the reporting period 3. Number of program youth who were committed to a juvenile facility during the reporting period 4. Number of program youth who were sentenced to adult prison during the reporting period 5. Number of youth who received another sentence during the reporting period 6. Percent OFFENDING (B/A) |  |
| 7 | **Number and percent of program youth who RE-OFFEND**  (short term) | | The number and percent of participating program youth who were arrested or seen at a juvenile court for a new delinquent offense during the reporting period. Appropriate for any youth-serving program. Official records (police, juvenile court) are the preferred data source.  The number of youth tracked should reflect the number of program youth that are followed or monitored for new arrests or offenses. Ideally this number should be all youth served by the program during the reporting period.  Certain jurisdictions refer to adjudications as ‘sentences’.  Other sentences may be community based sanctions, such as community service, probation etc.  Example: If I am tracking 50 program youth then the ‘B’ value would be 50. Of these 50 program youth that I am tracking, if 25 of them had a new arrest or had a new delinquent offense during the reporting period, then ‘C’ would be 25. This logic should follow for ‘D’, ‘E’, and ‘F’ values. The percent of youth re-offending measured short-term will be auto calculated in ‘G’. | 1. Total number of program youth served 2. Number of program youth tracked during the reporting period 3. Of B, number of program youth who had a new arrest or new delinquent offense during the reporting period 4. Number of program youth who were recommitted to a juvenile facility during the reporting period 5. Number of program youth who were sentenced to adult prison during the reporting period 6. Number of youth who received another sentence during the reporting period 7. Percent RECIDIVISM (C/B) |  |
| 8 | **Number and percent of program youth who RE-OFFEND**  (long term) | | The number and percent of participating program youth who were arrested or seen at a juvenile court for a new delinquent offense during the reporting period. Appropriate for any youth-serving program. Official records (police, juvenile court) are the preferred data source.  The number of youth tracked should reflect the number of program youth that are followed or monitored for new arrests or offenses 6-12 months after exiting the program.  Certain jurisdictions refer to adjudications as ‘sentences’.  Other sentences may be community based sanctions, such as community service, probation etc.  Example: A grantee may have several youth who exited the program 6-12 months ago, however, they are tracking only 100 of them for re-offenses, therefore, and the ‘A’ value will be 100. Of these 100 program youth that exited the program 6-12 months ago 65 had a new arrest or new delinquent offense during the reporting period, therefore the ‘B’ value should be recorded as 65. This logic should follow for ‘C’, ‘D’, and ‘E’ values. The percent of youth offending measured long-term will be auto calculated in ‘F’. | 1. Number of program youth who exited the program 6-12 months ago that you are tracking 2. Of A, the number of program youth who had a new arrest or new delinquent offense during the reporting period 3. Number of program youth who were recommitted to a juvenile facility during the reporting period 4. Number of program youth who were sentenced to adult prison during the reporting period 5. Number of youth who received another sentence during the reporting period 6. Percent RECIDIVISM (B/A) |  |
| 9 | **Number and percent of program youth who are VICTIMIZED**  (short term) | | The measure determines the number of program youth who are harmed or adversely affected by someone else’s criminal actions. Victimization can be physical or psychological; it also includes harm or adverse effects to youth’s property.  The number of youth tracked should reflect the number of program youth that are followed or monitored for victimization. Ideally this number should be all youth served by the program during the reporting period.  Example:If I am tracking 50 program youth, then, the ‘B’ value would be 50. Of these 50 program youth that I am tracking, if 25 of them were victimized during the reporting period, then ‘C’ would be 25. The percent of youth who are victimized measured short-term will be auto calculated in ‘D’ based on ‘B’ and ‘C’ values. | 1. Total number of program youth served 2. Number of program youth tracked during the reporting period for victimization 3. Of B, the number of program youth who were victimized 4. Percent VICTIMIZED (C/B) |  |
| 10 | **Number and percent of program youth who are VICTIMIZED** (long term) | | The measure determines the number of program youth who are harmed or adversely affected by someone else’s criminal actions. Victimization can be physical or psychological; it also includes harm or adverse effects to youth’s property.  The number of youth tracked should reflect the number of program youth that are followed or monitored for victimization 6-12 months after exiting the program.  Example: A grantee may have several youth who exited the program 6-12 months ago, however, they are tracking only 100 of them, therefore, and the ‘A’ value will be 100. Of these 100 program youth that exited the program 6-12 months ago 65 had been victimized during the reporting period, therefore the ‘B’ value should be recorded as 65. The percent of youth who are victimized measured long-term will be auto calculated in ‘C’ based on ‘A’ and ‘B’ values. | 1. Number of program youth who exited the program 6-12 months ago that you are tracking for victimization 2. Of A, the number of program youth who were victimized during the reporting period 3. Percent VICTIMIZED (B/A) |  |
| 11 | **Number and percent of program youth who are RE-VICTIMIZED**  (short term) | | The re-victimization measure counts the number of youth who experienced subsequent victimization. Victimization can be physical or psychological; it also includes harm or adverse effects to youth’s property.  The number of youth tracked should reflect the number of program youth that are followed or monitored for re-victimization. Ideally this number should be all youth served by the program during the reporting period.  Example:If I am tracking 50 program youth, then, the ‘B’ value would be 50. Of these 50 program youth that I am tracking, if 25 of them were re-victimized during the reporting period, then ‘C’ would be 25. The percent of youth who are re-victimized measured short-term will be auto calculated in ‘D’ based on ‘B’ and ‘C’ values. | 1. Total number of program youth served 2. Number of program youth tracked during the reporting period for re-victimization 3. Of B, the number of program youth who were re-victimized 4. Percent RE-VICTIMIZED (C/B) |  |
| 12 | **Number and percent of program youth who are RE-VICTIMIZED**  (long term) | | The re-victimization measure counts the number of youth who experienced subsequent victimization. Victimization can be physical or psychological; it also includes harm or adverse effects to youth’s property.  The number of youth tracked should reflect the number of program youth that are followed or monitored for re-victimization 6-12 months after exiting the program.  Example: If I am tracking 50 program youth, then, the ‘A’ value would be 50. Of these 50 program youth that I am tracking, if 25 of them were re-victimized during the reporting period, then ‘B’ would be 25. The percent of youth who are re-victimized measured long-term will be auto calculated in ‘C’ based on ‘A’ and ‘B’ values. | 1. Number of program youth who exited the program 6-12 months ago that you are tracking for re-victimization 2. Of A, the number of program youth who were re-victimized during the reporting period 3. Percent RE-VICTIMIZED (B/A) |  |
| **Select one of the following from 13A through 13L depending on the primary focus of the program.** | | | | | |
| 13A | **Substance use**  (short term) | | The number and percent of program youth who have exhibited a decrease in substance use during the reporting period.  Self-report, staff rating, or urinalysis are most likely data sources. | 1. Number of program youth served during the reporting period with the noted behavioral change 2. Total number of youth receiving services for target behavior during the reporting period 3. Percent (A/B) |  |
| 13A | **Substance use**  (long term) | | The number and percent of program youth who exhibited a decrease in substance use 6-12 months after exiting the program.  Self-report, staff rating, or urinalysis are most likely data sources. | 1. Total number of youth who exited the program 6-12 months ago who had the noted behavioral change 2. Total number of youth who received services for the target behavior and who exited the program 6-12 months ago 3. Percent (A/B) |  |
| 13B | **Social competence**  (short term) | | The number and percent of program youth who have exhibited a desired change in social competencies during the reporting period. Social competence is the ability to achieve personal goals in social interaction while simultaneously maintaining positive relationships with others over time and across situations.  Self-report or staff ratings are the most likely data sources. | 1. Number of program youth served during the reporting period with the noted behavioral change 2. Total number of youth receiving services for the target behavior during the reporting period 3. Percent (A/B) |  |
| 13B | **Social competence**  (long term) | | The number and percent of program youth who exhibited a desired change in social competencies 6-12 months after exiting the program. Social competence is defined as the ability to achieve personal goals in social interaction while simultaneously maintaining positive relationships with others over time and across situations.  Self-report or staff ratings are the most likely data sources. | 1. Total number of youth who exited the program 6-12 months ago who had the noted behavioral change 2. Total number of youth who received services for the target behavior and who exited the program 6-12 months ago 3. Percent (A/B) |  |
| 13C | **School attendance**  (short term) | | The number and percent of program youth who have exhibited a desired change in school attendance during the reporting period.  Self-report or official records are the most likely data sources. | 1. Number of program youth served during the reporting period with the noted behavioral change 2. Total number of youth receiving services for the target behavior during the reporting period 3. Percent (A/B) |  |
| 13C | **School attendance**  (long term) | | The number and percent of program youth who exhibited a desired change in school attendance 6–12 months after exiting the program.  Self-report or official records are the most likely data sources. | 1. Total number of youth who exited the program 6-12 months ago who had the noted behavioral change 2. Total number of youth who received services for the target behavior and who exited the program 6-12 months ago 3. Percent (A/B) |  |
| 13D | | **GPA**  (short term) | The number and percent of program youth who have exhibited a desired change in GPA during the reporting period.  Self-report or official records are the most likely data sources. | 1. Number of program youth served during the reporting period with the noted behavioral change 2. Total number of youth receiving services for target behavior during the reporting period 3. Percent (A/B) |  |
| 13D | | **GPA**  (long term) | The number and percent of program youth who exhibited a desired change in GPA 6-12 months after exiting the program.  Self-report or official records are the most likely data sources. | 1. Total number of youth who exited the program 6-12 months ago who had the noted behavioral change 2. Total number of youth who received services for the target behavior and who exited the program 6-12 months ago 3. Percent (A/B) |  |
| 13E | | **GED**  (short term) | The number and percent of program youth who earned their GED during the reporting period.  Self-report or staff ratings are the most likely data sources. | 1. Number of program youth served during the reporting period with the noted behavioral change 2. Total number of youth receiving services for target behavior during the reporting period 3. Percent (A/B) |  |
| 13E | | **GED**  (long term) | The number and percent of program youth who earned their GED 6-12 months after exiting the program.  Self-report or staff ratings are the most likely data sources. | 1. Total number of youth who exited the program 6-12 months ago who had the noted behavioral change 2. Total number of youth who received services for the target behavior and who exited the program 6-12 months ago 3. Percent (A/B) |  |
| 13F | | **High School Completion** (short term) | The number of youth who have completed High School during the reporting period.  Program records are the preferred data source. | 1. Number of program youth served during the reporting period with the noted behavioral change 2. Total number of youth receiving services for target behavior during the reporting period 3. Percent (A/B) |  |
| 13F | | **High School Completion** (long term) | The number and percent of program youth who exhibited an increase in high school completion 6-12 months after exiting the program.  Program records are the preferred data source. | 1. Total number of youth who exited the program 6-12 months ago who had the noted behavioral change 2. Total number of youth who received services for the target behavior and who exited the program 6-12 months ago 3. Percent (A/B) |  |
| 13G | | **Job Skills**  (short term) | The number and percent of program youth who exhibited an increase in job skills during the reporting period.  Self-report or staff rating is most likely data source. | 1. Number of program youth served during the reporting period with the noted behavioral change 2. Total number of youth receiving services for target behavior during the reporting period 3. Percent (A/B) |  |
| 13G | | **Job Skills**  (long term) | The number and percent of program youth who exhibited an increase in job skills 6-12 months after exiting the program.  Self-report or staff rating is most likely data source. | 1. Total number of youth who exited the program 6-12 months ago who had the noted behavioral change 2. Total number of youth who received services for the target behavior and who exited the program 6-12 months ago 3. Percent (A/B) |  |
| 13H | | **Employment status**  (short term) | The number of program youth who have exhibited an improvement in employment status during the reporting period.  Self-report or staff ratings are most likely data sources. | 1. Number of program youth served during the reporting period with the noted behavioral change 2. Total number of youth receiving services for target behavior during the reporting period 3. Percent (A/B) |  |
| 13H | | **Employment status**  (long term) | The number and percent of program youth who exhibited an improvement in employment status 6-12 months after exiting the program.  Self-report or staff ratings are most likely data sources. | 1. Total number of youth who exited the program 6-12 months ago who had the noted behavioral change 2. Total number of youth who received services for the target behavior and who exited the program 6-12 months ago 3. Percent (A/B) |  |
| 13I | | **Family relationships** (short term) | The number and percent of program youth who have exhibited a desired change in family relationships during the reporting period. Such changes are positive ones that could be related to increased positive interaction with family members. Examples are improved communication and increased emotional and practical support.  Self-report or staff ratings are the most likely data sources. | 1. Number of program youth served during the reporting period with the noted behavioral change 2. Total number of youth receiving services for target behavior during the reporting period 3. Percent (A/B) |  |
| 13I | | **Family relationships**  (long term) | The number and percent of program youth who have exhibited a desired change in family relationships 6–12 months after exiting the program. Such changes are positive ones that could be related to increased positive interaction with family members. Examples are improved communication and increased emotional and practical support.  Self-report or staff ratings are the most likely data sources. | 1. Total number of youth who exited the program 6-12 months ago who had the noted behavioral change 2. Total number of youth who received services for the target behavior and who exited the program 6-12 months ago 3. Percent (A/B) |  |
| 13J | | **Antisocial behavior**  (short term) | The number and percent of program youth who have exhibited a desired change in antisocial behavior during the reporting period. Antisocial behavior is a pervasive pattern of behavior that displays disregard for and violation of the rights of others, societal mores, or the law (such as deceitfulness, irritability, fighting, disruptive behavior, consistent irresponsibility, lack of remorse, or failure to conform to social norms).  Self-report or staff ratings are the most likely data sources. | 1. Number of program youth served during the reporting period with the noted behavioral change 2. Total number of youth receiving services for the target behavior during the reporting period 3. Percent (A/B) |  |
| 13J | | **Antisocial behavior**  (long term) | The number and percent of program youth who exhibited a desired change in antisocial behavior 6–12 months after exiting the program. Antisocial behavior is a pervasive pattern of behavior that displays disregard for and violation of the rights of others, societal mores, or the law (such as deceitfulness, irritability, fighting, disruptive behavior, consistent irresponsibility, lack of remorse, or failure to conform to social norms).  Self-report or staff ratings are the most likely data sources. | 1. Total number of youth who exited the program 6-12 months ago who had the noted behavioral change 2. Total number of youth who received services for the target behavior and who exited the program 6-12 months ago 3. Percent (A/B) |  |
| 13K | | **Gang resistance/ involvement**  (short term) | The number and percent of program youth who have exhibited a desired change in gang resistance behavior during the reporting period.  Self-report or staff ratings are the most likely data sources. | 1. Number of program youth served during the reporting period with the noted behavioral change 2. Total number of youth receiving services for the target behavior during the reporting period 3. Percent (A/B) |  |
| 13K | | **Gang resistance/ involvement**  (long term) | The number and percent of program youth who exhibited a desired change in gang resistance behavior 6–12 months after exiting the program.  Self-report or staff ratings are the most likely data sources. | 1. Total number of youth who exited the program 6-12 months ago who had the noted behavioral change 2. Total number of youth who received services for the target behavior and who exited the program 6-12 months ago 3. Percent (A/B) |  |
| 13L | | **Cultural Skill building/Cultural Pride** (short term) | The number of program youth who exhibit increased knowledge and/or understanding of tribal background, history, traditions, language and/or values. | 1. Number of program youth served during the reporting period with the noted behavioral change 2. Total number of youth receiving services for the target behavior during the reporting period 3. Percent (A/B) |  |
| 13L | | **Cultural Skill building/Cultural Pride** (long term) | The number of program youth who exhibit increased knowledge and/or understanding of tribal background, history, traditions, language and/or values 6-12 months after exiting the program. | 1. Total number of youth who exited the program 6-12 months ago who had the noted behavioral change 2. Total number of youth who received services for the target behavior and who exited the program 6-12 months ago 3. Percent (A/B) |  |